

# Office of Design Update

Brian Smith, P.E. Thomas Hamski, P.E.

1

# **Discussion Topics**

- Standards
- Plan Quality
- Plan Review Comments
- RCB backfill
- Earth Work
- Topsoil
- In Service Guardrail Reviews
- Cost Estimating
- Access Policy Update

# Standards Update

- Intake Maximum Pipe size
  - Several DOT only intakes do not currently have a maximum pipe size
  - We would like a minimum of 6 inches of wall past the outside of the pipe



# Standards update



4

# Standards Update

#### We have recently added a center line joint to our 16 foot wide ramps

- There has been an issue with uncontrolled cracks developing down the centerline
- Recently it has been the practice to add a center line joint during construction

### Layouts for Guardrail and paving at bridges

- Moving to a detail sheet of each bridge end
- Dimensions will be setup so they can be laid out with a tape
- Dimensions will use the 3 bolt hole location as a base line
- Existing Bridges will have field measurements taken to the 3 bolt location
- Details will have information for guardrail, paving and grading layouts

# Standards Update

• Guardrail layout



- We recently conducted a plan quality survey with Contractors and DOT Inspectors
- To clarify responses we met with the Contractors on Monday
- In the future we will have a plan quality survey as part of the construction contract close out process.
- The next several slides show highlights of the result









# Plan review comments

- Pavement thickness
  - To avoid last minute changes with plans make sure you contact the pavement design group as early as you can
  - Erosion control
    - Should be reviewed with Roadside section to assure that the best erosion control practices are being used
  - Additional Rows at the bottom of tabulations
    - The field has asked repeatedly that we leave extra rows at the bottom of our tabulations. This allows them a space to add additional items or add notes that will be incorporated into the as-built plans
  - Mileage Summaries
    - Are no longer needed. That information should know be shown on the location map

# RCB backfill



# Earthwork

- Adjusted Earthwork Process a little over a year ago
- Make sure to review the chapter 5s before doing earthwork and take a look at the examples in 1F-20
- Highlight of changes
  - Moved the shrink back to the fill side
  - Added Topsoil to the T sheets
  - Added a legend sheet to clarify what the various columns mean
    - Column headings should match legend labels
  - Subgrade treatment options
    - Bid Contractor provided treatment when treatment is required
    - If select soil is available in the roadway cut treat it as class 10 but, make sure to note the quantity available in the class 10
  - Waste
    - Bid waste if you have material in excess of the fill need.
    - Do not deduct select soil from the waste quantity

# Earthwork Subgrade Treatment

- It is very important to involve our Soils section with your subgrade treatment need and selection.
- There are several ways to approach subgrade treatment and the will vary greatly depending on the materials that are available on the project and staging

# Earthwork Subgrade Treatment



16

# Earthwork Legend



# Earthwork

- Clarification on bidding earthwork
  - Overall rule. We need to pay the contractor for the material that they cut
  - Since you are paying for the material that is cut, shrink should not be considered
  - When Contractor borrow is needed we pay for the material in place or the fill quantity without shrink added
    - Embankment-in-Place
      - Used when the borrow quantity is less than 10,000
      - A reference note is required that indicates it is contractor provided
      - Material submittals are not required by the contractor
    - Embankment-in-Place, Contractor Furnished
      - Used when the borrow quantity is greater than 10,000
      - Material submittals are required by the contractor
  - When you waste material you do not shrink it, it should be the quantity cut
  - Shrink should only be considered when you are placing material cut within the project
  - Plan to add information to the design manual to clarify
  - The T sheets should have quantity summaries on each sheet at minimum

# Earthwork / topsoil

- Topsoil should be shown in the T sheets
  - This helps the contractor understand where topsoil is generated and where it is needed
  - As much as possible we should avoid contractor provided topsoil
    - Topsoil is very difficult for contractors to obtain and expensive
  - Strip as much topsoil as you have available to avoid a need for borrow
  - Adjust the placement thickness of your topsoil to match what is available
  - A minimum of 4 of inches topsoil is always required

# In service guardrail reviews

• To help us make good decisions on our roadside safety hardware, we are working with several groups within the DOT to develop a data collection process that will allow us to review how the various roadside safety features are preforming



# **Cost Estimating**

#### • Highlights of New Software

- Goal is to give designers more tools to develop good estimates
  - Quantity Regression is part of the tool
  - It is now possible to filter letting results by Work type, District, Season and more
  - It is possible to see the historical estimates for a project at milestone events
  - There are tools to compare two estimates
  - There is a reporting tool that shows a percentage breakdown of work within and average project and how yours estimate compares to that percentage
  - Estimates from all the disciplines are available in the same location
- We are hoping to have all annual cost estimates completed in this system this year

# **Cost Estimating Regression**

Item #: 2102-271007 RDWY+BORROW)	0 (EXCAVAT	ON, CL 10,		Show al	l items in	Chart	ude Out	tlie
Project Number	Route	Bid Date	Work Type	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Excl.	
BRFN-038-2(33)39- 16	IOWA 38	01/21/2015	3511-GRADING	1,413.00	СҮ	\$1.23		/
IMX-029-5(236)101 02-67	I-29	01/21/2015	1013-PCC PAVEMENT - GRADE AND NEW	5,543.00	CY	\$1.23		
IMX-029-5(236)101 02-67	I-29	01/21/2015	1013-PCC PAVEMENT - GRADE AND NEW	5,543.00	СҮ	\$1.31		
STPN-196-1(21)2J- 81	IOWA 196	01/21/2015	1014-PCC PAVEMENT - GRADE & REPLACE	432,651.00	СҮ	\$1.37		
STPN-196-1(21)2J- 81	IOWA 196	01/21/2015	1014-PCC PAVEMENT - GRADE & REPLACE	432,651.00	СҮ	\$1.42		
IMX-029-5(236)101 02-67	I-29	01/21/2015	1013-PCC PAVEMENT - GRADE AND NEW	5,543.00	СҮ	\$1.63		
Av	erade Value.	\$4 83	Median Value: \$7.80	Predicted Val	ue: \$6 45			

Average Value: \$4.83	Median Value: \$7.80	Predicted Value: \$6.45
# of Samples: 283	High Value: \$77.58	Low Value: \$1.23
R <sup>2</sup> : 0.32	Standard Deviation: 33.57	Suggestion Range: \$5.84 - \$7.12



# **Cost Estimating TREND Filter**



# Cost Estimating TREND Filter

Main	Locations	Work Type	Estimate Parameters	
Urban:			Rural:	
District:	Unassigned: DISTRICT 1 DISTRICT 2 DISTRICT 3 DISTRICT 4	<ul> <li></li> <li></li> </ul>	Assigned:	
County:	Unassigned: Statewide Adair Adams Allamakee	<b>~</b>	Assigned:	

# Cost Estimating TREND Filter

Project Work Type * Assigned Work Type	
010-ROADWAY	
010-ROADWAY	
020-STRUCTURE	
• 030-LANDSCAPING	
40-EROSION CONTROL	
• 050-SIGNING	
• 060-SIGNALS	
070-LIGHTING	
• 080-UTILITIES	
990-RAILROAD	
100-RIGHT OF WAY	
I10-FACILITIES	
120-PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	

### **Cost Estimating Project parameters**

Main Locations Work Type	Estimate Parameters		
Project Complexity (\$ per day):		- 📀	
Project Size:		Sector 2018	
Bridge Parameters			$\sim$
Roadway Parameters			<b>^</b>
Interchange Function:		- 0	
		- 0	
interendinge Type. [		<b>•</b>	
Topography:		- 📀	
Paving Type:		- 9	
Paving Rating:		- 9	
Geotech:		Sector 1	

# Cost Estimating history

E STPN-183-1(48)--2J-43 🗄 🛈 PSS 🖻 回 Office Of Design 🖻 🕘 D00-Field Exam 🗄 🍥 D02-D02 Estimate DM5-New Versior D09-New Version E- L04-Letting P STPN-183-1(49)--2J-43

### Cost Estimating estimate comparison

Com	pare V	ersi	on
COIII	pare v	CI DI	011

	Compare Versions	
Select Version: D00-Field Exam	× 🥝 Close	
1 of 2	Export to the selected format 🗸 Export	

#### Version Comparison

Between D02-D02 Estimate (Project STPN-183-1(48)--2J-43) and D00-Field Exam (Project STPN-183-1(48)--2J-43)

		STP D	N-183-1(48) 02-D02 Estim	2J-43 ate	ST	PN-183-1(48) D00-Field Ex	2J-43 :am		De	Ita	
ltem Number	Item Description	Quantity	Unit Price	Ext. Price	Quantity	Unit Price	Ext. Price	Quantity	Unit Price	Ext. Price	% Diff
GENERAL				\$0.00			\$5,694.08			(\$5,694.08)	
2528-8445110	TRAFFIC CONTROL	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$5,263.75	\$5,263.75	-1.00	(\$5,263.75)	(\$5,263.75)	-100.00 %
2528-8445113	FLAGGER	5.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$430.33	\$430.33	4.00	(\$430.33)	(\$430.33)	-100.00 %
2533-4980005	MOBILIZATION	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00 %
2602-0000309	PERIMETER+SLOPE SEDIMENT CNTL DEVICE, 9"	20.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	20.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00 %
2602-0010010	MOBILIZATION, EROSION CONTROL	1.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00 %
ROADWAY				\$0.00			\$649,050.64			(\$649,050.64)	
2101-0850002	CLEAR+GRUBB	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$201.56	\$201.56	-1.00	(\$201.56)	(\$201.56)	-100.00 %
2101-1001000	RMVL OF FLOOD DEBRIS	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$16,279.34	\$16,279.34	-1.00	(\$16,279.34)	(\$16,279.34)	-100.00 %
2102-2625001	EMBANKMENT-IN-PLACE, CONTRACTOR FURNISH	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	7,800.00	\$15.58	\$121,524.00	-7,800.00	(\$15.58)	(\$121,524.00)	-100.00 %
2102-2710070	EXCAVATION, CL 10, RDWY+BORROW	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	53,000.00	\$5.64	\$298,920.00	-53,000.00	(\$5.64)	(\$298,920.00)	-100.00 %
2102-2713090	EXCAVATION, CL 13, WASTE	3.30	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	3.30	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00 %
2435-0250900	INTAKE, SW-509	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	2.00	\$6,029.96	\$12,059.92	-2.00	(\$6,029.96)	(\$12,059.92)	-100.00 %
2435-0251000	NTAKE, SW-510	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	2.00	\$7,660.91	\$15,321.82	-2.00	(\$7,660.91)	(\$15,321.82)	-100.00 %
2503-0110030	STORM SWR GRAVITY MAIN, TRENCHED, 30"	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	200.00	\$111.22	\$22,244.00	-200.00	(\$111.22)	(\$22,244.00)	-100.00 %
2503-0110042	STORM SWR GRAVITY MAIN, TRENCHED, 42"	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	325.00	\$500.00	\$162,500.00	-325.00	(\$500.00)	(\$162,500.00)	-100.00 %
2510-6745850	RMVL OF PÁV'T	159.53	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	159.53	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00 %
2511-6745900	RMVL OF SIDEWALK	2,429.30	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	2,429.30	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00 %

### Cost Estimating estimate comp. to Bid

Dashboard Cost Estimating Compare Estimate with Bid

O

O

I of 5 Export to the selected format V Export

#### **Bid and Version Comparison**

Between bid "HOFFMAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY & SUBSIDIARIES (HO170)" and version "D06-BriansTest" in project "NHSX-032-1(36)--3H-31"

		1	106-BriansTest HOFFMAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY & SUBSIDIARIES (HO170)			RIES (HO170)	Delta				
Item Numbe	ritem Description	Quantity	Unit Price	Ext. Price	Quantity	Unit Price	Ext. Price	Quantity	Unit Price	Ext. Price	% Diff
000-GENER	AL			\$0.00			\$695,450.00				- <mark>100.00</mark> %
000-000-	MOBILIZATION	\$0.0			\$595,850.00 -100.			-100.00 %			
2533-4980005	MOBILIZATION	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.00	1.00 \$593,300.00 \$593,300.00 -1.00 (\$593,300.00) (\$593,300.00)			-100.00 %		
2601-2643300	MOBILIZATION FOR WATERING	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	3.00	\$350.00	\$1,050.00	-3.00	(\$350.00)	(\$1,050.00)	-100.00 %
2602-0010010	MOBILIZATION, EROSION	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$500.00	\$500.00	-1.00	(\$500.00)	(\$500.00)	-100.00 %
2602-0010020	MOBILIZATION, EMERGENCY EROSION CONTROL	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	-1.00	(\$1,000.00)	(\$1,000.00)	-100.00 %
000-010-	PROJECT MANAGEMENT	\$0.00			\$50,000.00		-100.00			- <mark>100.00</mark> %	
2590-0000020	PROJECT MANAGEMENT	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	-1.00	(\$50,000.00)	(\$50,000.00)	-100.00 %
000-030-	GENERAL CONSTRUCTION			\$0.00	\$49,600.0		\$49,600.00	-100.00 %			
2518-6910000	SAFETY CLOSURE	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	22.00	\$100.00	\$2,200.00	-22.00	(\$100.00)	(\$2,200.00)	-100.00 %
2520-3350015	FIELD OFFICE	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	-1.00	(\$10,000.00)	(\$10,000.00)	-100.00 %
2526-8285000	CONSTRUCTION SURVEY	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$25,200.00	\$25,200.00	-1.00	(\$25,200.00)	(\$25,200.00)	-100.00 %
2528-8445110	TRAFFIC CONTROL	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$3,200.00	\$3,200.00	-1.00	(\$3,200.00)	(\$3,200.00)	-100.00 %
2528-8445113	FLAGGER	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	20.00	\$450.00	\$9,000.00	-20.00	(\$450.00)	(\$9,000.00)	-100.00 %
010-ROADW	IAY			\$0.00			\$4,217,404.64				- <mark>100.</mark> 00 %
010-000-	ROADWAY REMOVALS	\$0.0		\$0.00			\$38,523.60				-100.00 %
2510-6745850	RMVL OF PAVT	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	99.70	\$10.00	\$997.00	-99.70	(\$10.00)	(\$997.00)	-100.00 %
-											

### Cost Est. % of Project Comparison

Dashboard Cost Estimating

Estimate Percentage Comparison 🛛

S S IC I of 3 Export to the selected format V Export

		P	roject Percen	tage	Work Type	Percentage	Delta
ltem Number	Item Description		%of Project	Extended Price	% of Project	Extended Price	% Diff
GENERAL			6.22 %	\$1,221,156.93	7.47 %	\$1,466,566.29	-1.25 %
PCT-000	GENERAL (000)				7.47%	\$1,466,566.29	
MOBILIZATION			4.44 %	\$871,694.02	4.53 %	\$889,363.49	-0.09 %
2533-4980005	MOBILIZATION	1.00	\$871,694.02	\$871,694.02			
PCT-000-000	MOBILIZATION (000-000)				4.53%	\$889,363.49	
PROJECT MANA	GEMENT		1.38 %	\$270,931.92	1.38 %	\$270,931.92	0.00 %
2590-0000020	PROJECT MANAGEMENT	1.00	\$270,931.92	\$270,931.92			
PCT-000-010	PROJECT MANAGEMENT (000-010)				1.38%	\$270,931.92	
ENVIRONMENTA	AL.		0.00 %	\$0.00	2.35 %	\$461,369.58	-2.35 %
PCT-000-020	ENVIRONMENTAL (000-020)				2.35%	\$461,369.58	
GENERAL CONS	TRUCTION		0.40 %	\$78,530.99	1.07 %	\$210,070.41	-0.67 %
2526-8285000	CONSTRUCTION SURVEY	1.00	\$78,530.99	\$78,530.99			
PCT-000-030	GENERAL CONSTRUCTION (000-030)				1.07%	\$210,070.41	
PAYMENT ADJU	STMENTS		0.00 %	\$0.00	2.23 %	\$437,810.28	-2.23 %
PCT-000-040	PAYMENT ADJUSTMENTS (000-040)				2.23%	\$437,810.28	
ENGINEERING			0.00 %	\$0.00	0.00 %	\$0.00	0.00 %
PCT-000-050	ENGINEERING (000-050)				0.00%	\$0.00	
ROADWAY			67.35 %	\$13,222,655.88	70.27 %	\$13,795,932.13	-2.92 %
PCT-010	ROADWAY (010)				70.27%	\$13,795,932.13	
ROADWAY REM	OVALS		0.00 %	\$0.00	0.51 %	\$100,127.02	-0.51 %
PCT-010-000	ROADWAY REMOVALS (010-000)				0.51%	\$100,127.02	

30

# **Cost Estimating**

- Next Steps
- Email to active consultants to set up administrators
- Each consultant can have one or two admins that can add and remove people in the system
- There is a help built into the software and we are also nearly complete with some design manual guidance
- Training model will be train the trainer
- Two or Three people from each active consultant will be trained
- As other consultants have need we will setup additional classes
- Online training will be setup in the very near future

# Access Policy Update

- Chapter 112 in the Iowa Code will be revised.
- Chapter 3K-2 in the Design Manual will be revised with new content.
- An Access Management Manual will be implemented. This covers the process of acquiring an access permit from the department.
- We will be implementing a category system for the classification of routes on our roadway network that will replace the current priority system.
- We are adding a Type D access for field accesses and accesses to utility substations etc.
- We are introducing the concept of functional area of intersections/interchanges and how accesses will be handled within those areas.
- We are revising the distances from the first public road and private accesses to the at grade and free flow ramp terminals at interchanges.
- We are revising the warrants for turn lanes at accesses.

### AUTOMATION UPDATES

# **Digital Contract Documents**

- Internal DOT projects current state of practice to apply SP for digital contract documents to selected internal projects
- QC process/criteria to be published end of this month.
- Turn in process for digital contract documents to be published next month.
- Will pilot select consultant projects for digital contract documents in coming months.
- Will continue conversations with ACEC/AGC/DOT meetings to keep concerns of all parties addressed.

# Digital contract documents

- Digital files currently elevated through use of Special Provision.
- Intention to place into General Specifications in future.
  - Listing of contract documents to be moved from SP to plans at that time.

SP-150184 (New)



SPECIAL PROVISIONS FOR CONFORMITY WITH AND COORDINATION OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

> Story County IM-035-4(182)109--13-85

> > Effective Date January 18, 2017

THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS, SERIES 2015, ARE AMENDED BY THE FOLLOWING MODIFICATIONS AND ADDITIONS. THESE ARE SPECIAL PROVISIONS AND THEY SHALL PREVAIL OVER THOSE PUBLISHED IN THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS.

#### 150184.01 DIGITAL CONTRACT FILES.

- A. Digital Files contained within the bid order package, associated with this project number, listed below (files are listed in descending order of precedence):
  - 1. LandXML Geometry file(s): All LandXML files starting with the prefix "hv\_dsn\_" within the "Alignment\_Data\_Files" subfolder.
  - 2. LandXML surface files: All LandXML files within the "Machine\_Control\_Surfaces" subfolder.
  - Three dimensional line string CADD files: All DGN files contained within the "3D\_LineString\_Files" subfolder.

Appendix A: Digital Contract Files Listing

The digital files listed below are contained within the bid order package, associated with the project number XXX-XXX-999-9(999)999--99-99 available for download at:

http://www.iowadot.gov/contracts/lettings.html



#### \eFiles\_Example\

#### Machine\_Control\_Surfaces\

prop\_surf\_ML141\_Stage1\_subgrade\_053.xml

prop\_surf\_ML141\_Stage2\_subgrade\_053.xml

existing surface prelim survey 053.xml

# Digital file Quality Assurance

- 20B-72 & 20B-73 to be published describing DOT's QC process for digital files
- 20B-72 will describe what to check in digital data
  - Numerically and visually
- 20B-73 will describe methods to check the digital data
  - Native software and contractor used softwares





1	D)	<b>Bentley</b> Civil	Report Browser -	C:\Users\thamski\AppData\Local\Temp\RPTo0gbiuqf.xml	
-	-	and the second se	<ul> <li>Contraction and a second s</li></ul>		

owaDOTStand						
netry			Но	rizontal Alignment Review	w Report	
mentsToLar						
ontalAlignm				Report Created: 8/23/2017		
ontalAlignm				Time: 12:16pm		
ontal Alignm	Destant	Default				
contalAlignm	Pioject.	Default				
ontalAlignm	Description:					
ontalAlignm	File Name:	W:\Highway\De	sign\AutomationSection\F	Personnel\ThomasHamski\Working\eFile	esQC\uStation_QC\GEO_ML169.dgr	
ontalAlignm	Last Revised:	8/23/2017 12:16	:27			
ontal Alignm					Note: All units in this report are in feet	unless specified otherwise.
contal Alignm =						
:ontalAndVe		$\sim \sim$				
ontalElemer		Align	ment Name: ML169			
ontal Elemen		Alignment	Description:			
ngOutTable		Aligr	ment Style: dsnAlignm	ent		
ngOut Table				Station	Northing	Easting
erse xsl						
erseCurveA	Element: Linear					Y Y Y X X
erseCurveA		POB	()	1000+07.30 R1	3651700.793	4726111.900
erseEditAS		PC	()	1033+93.92 R1	3655005.659	4725372.285
ersePoints>		Tanger	ntial Direction:	N 12°36'52.9" W		
alAlignmen		Tang	ential Length:	3386.6166		
cal Alignmen						
cal Alignmen	Element: Circular					
calAlignmen		PC	()	1033+93.92 R1	3655005.659	4725372.285
cal Alignmen		PI	$\times \times 0$	1037+85.92 R1	3655388.202	4725286.674
salAlignmen		CC			3655839.861	4729099.799
ey		PT	()	1041+75.19 R1	3655780.159	4725280.547
e Modeling			Radius:	3819.7190		
			Delta:	11°43'08.9" Right		
ection		Degree of C	urvature (Arc):	1°30'00.0"		
in 🚽 🖂		XXX	Length:	781.2754		
* A S				200 0050		

				CANCELED AND AND A			$\sim \sim$
10	Inway\Design\AutomationS	ection\Personnel\ThomasH		CME Portal	😂 Alignment	Geometry Report ×	w w '
X O McAfee	-						
🙀 🥥 Office of Design	- Iowa D 🛕 AASHTO -	· Subcommittee 🥝 Iowa	Department of Trans				
× Find: dotdocs		Previous	Next 🛛 🖉 Options 🔻				
Project File Data	0111 111 1115			Coordina	ate System	C	
Name:	C:\Users\thamski\D	ocuments\Business Cent	ter - HCE\ML065_file.vce	Name:	United	States/State Plane 1983	
Size:	69 MB			Datum:	NAD	1983 (Conus)	
Modified:	0/10/2017 11:51:42 Control Street and T	2 AM (UTC:-5)		Zone:	Iowa	South 1402	
I me zone:	Central Standard II	me		Geoid:	GEUI	D12B (Conus)	
Description:				verucai d	latum.		
Comment 1:							
Comment 2:							
Comment 3:							
				0			
				-			
				d			
			Alignment Geom	etry Report	t		
Alignment: ML06	55		Alignment Geom	etry Report	t		
Alignment: ML06 Units	55	Length	Alignment Geom	etry Report	t Northing	Easting	Station
Alignment: ML06 Units US	55 5 survey foot	Length 6930.066	Alignment Geom Spiral Type Clothoid	etry Report	t Northing 660723.164	<b>Easting</b> 1695239.518	Station 1005+00.00
Alignment: ML06 Units US	55 5 survey foot	Length 6930.066	Alignment Geom Spiral Type Clothoid	etry Report	t Northing 660723.164	<b>Easting</b> 1695239.518	<b>Station</b> 1005+00.00
Alignment: ML06 Units US	55 5 survey foot	Length 6930.066	Alignment Geom Spiral Type Clothoid Line	etry Report	t Northing 660723.164	Easting 1695239.518	Station 1005+00.00
Alignment: ML06 Units US	55 5 survey foot orth Azimuth	Length 6930.066	Alignment Geom Spiral Type Clothoid Line Distance	etry Report	t Northing 660723.164 Northing	Easting 1695239.518 Easting	Station 1005+00.00 Station
Alignment: ML06 Units US	55 5 survey foot orth Azimuth 212°1	Length 6930.066	Alignment Geom Spiral Type Clothoid Line Distance	POB	t Northing 660723.164 Northing 660723.164	Easting 1695239.518 Easting 1695239.518	Station 1005+00.00 Station 1005+00.00
Alignment: ML06 Units US No	55 5 survey foot orth Azimuth 313°1	Length 6930.066	Alignment Geom	POB PT PC	t Northing 660723.164 Northing 660723.164 662530.507	Easting 1695239.518 Easting 1695239.518 1695320.129	Station 1005+00.00 Station 1005+00.00 1031+36.39
Alignment: ML06 Units US No	55 5 survey foot orth Azimuth 313°1	Length 6930.066 6'40"	Alignment Geom Spiral Type Clothoid Distance 2636.388	POB PT PC	t Northing 660723.164 Northing 660723.164 662530.507	Easting 1695239.518 Easting 1695239.518 1693320.129	Station 1005+00.00 Station 1005+00.00 1031+36.39

# **Change Notification Management**

- DOT has developed method of tracking changes to standards, instructions, details, etc.
- Spreadsheet to initially be available online with filtering to query information.
- Information to be shared:
  - Implementation Date
  - Whether change is a new publication
  - Affected Publication
  - Office
  - Brief & Detailed descriptions of the change
  - Up to 3 contacts on the change

# **Change Notification Management XLS**

1	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	J	K	L	
	Implementation	Affected Publication		Revision			New	History of				
1	Date 💌	Туре 💌	Affected Publication 🔻	Type 💌	Office 💌	Description 💌	Publicatior -	Change 🔻	Contact1	Contact2	Contact3	
2	6/20/2017	Road Design Detail	Tab 110-12A	Special	Design-Methods	Tabulation updated to reflect the ant	FALSE	The DNR is ch	Brian Smith	Melissa Serio	Mike Heller	
3	8/1/2017	CADD Standard	Geopak Drainage Setting	Standard	Design-Automation	Updated aprons to be inlets and Outl	FALSE	longer descri	Thomas Hamski			
4	9/1/2017	Design Manual	21M-101	Standard	Design-Automation	How to extract a digital certificate fro	TRUE	Details instru	(Thomas Hams <mark>k</mark> i			
5	9/1/2017	Design Manual	21M-100	Standard	Design-Automation	Digitally signing electronic files	TRUE	Details instru	i Thomas Hams <mark>k</mark> i			
6	9/1/2017	Design Manual	20B-73	Standard	Design-Automation	QC/QA methods of checking content	TRUE	Instructional	Thomas Hamski			
7	9/1/2017	Design Manual	20B-72	Standard	Design-Automation	QC/QA process for electronic contrac	TRUE	Brand new ch	Thomas Hamski			
8	9/1/2017	Design Manual	1H-05	Standard	Design-Automation	Digital Contract Documents Turn-In, r	TRUE	Description of	Thomas Hams <mark>k</mark> i	Brian Smith		
9	9/1/2017	Design Manual	21M-102	Standard	Design-Automation	Creating eFiles Listing for Special Pro	TRUE	New publicat	t Thomas Hams <mark>k</mark> i			
10												

# Updates to Geopak Drainage

- Removed the comma from element name of the SW-512 items so they no longer will produce an extra column.
- Added metal aprons.
- Added a CMP elbow.
- Changed aprons to be on the dsnSewerStormUA level.
- Added aprons to "Other" so they are now listed under Outlets and Other.

# Videolog available externally

- Road view video log has been made available to external connestions.
- Only works on desktop currently and utilizes Silverlight, IE11 or Firefox recommended.
- Accessible from URL: <u>http://rams.iowadot.gov/pathweb/</u>
- Advantage over Google Street view of knowing the date driven.





# Earthwork Updates

New MicroStation levels that match input file runs



### ProjectWise Updates

- Will need to use different versions of ProjectWise Explorer depending on operating system.
- 21F-1 to be updated with link to new instructions on using Windows 10 & ProjectWise Explorer
- New Section: 21F-25, Reference Scanning CADD Documents

# **OPENROADS UPDATE**

# Terminology

- Geopak SS2: Legacy civil design software suite which includes COGO, Corridor Modeler. Uses ancillary files to store information .TIN, .GPK, .DTM, .ALG, .DAT, .etc.
- Geopak SS4: Current civil design software suite used by Office of Design. Uses OpenRoads technology for modeling and geometry. Still relies on .GPK file for some tools.
- OpenRoads Technology: Technology (tools) introduced in Geopak SS3 where Bentley reworked many tools to work exclusively in the MicroStation .DGN file format.
- OpenRoads Designer: CONNECT edition (v10.0) of the civil design software from Bentley Systems. Only relies on .DGN files for information.
- CONNECT Edition: Bentley's terminology for the most currently released versions of their programs and services.

# Geopak SS2 support in the future

- ODAC is targeting August 31, 2018 as the end of support for Geopak SS2 software & workflow. End of support means:
  - SS2 workspace would no longer be updated
    - Cell libraries

Geopak configurations

• Levels

• Seed files

- Custom VBA tools
- If a custom Iowa DOT tool breaks, ODAC may not fix specifically for SS2.
- If a Bentley update breaks a tool in legacy SS2 and is not used in SS4 ODAC may not spend resources on a solution.

# New Projects using Geopak SS4 in DOT PW

- New projects should be using Geopak SS4
  - Newly created project directories are set up for SS4 workspace (Design, Photo, PrelimSurvey, Soils)
- Prior to starting a job in the DOT PW system check the IA\_GROUP property of the project folder
  - If IA\_GROUP is not set to Design Open Roads contact <u>thomas.Hamski@iowadot.us</u> and <u>eric.weigel@iowadot.us</u> prior to creating any files.
  - The IA\_GROUP determines the seed files copied by the CopySeed program.

# SS4 workspace in PW

roperties       View       Audit Trail       Participants       Workspace         Project Type:       IDOT_Design_Project_Number <ul> <li>Project Number for Road Design</li> <li>Project Properties</li> <li>DSN_IA_PROJ_ID</li> <li>DSN_PIN_NUM</li> <li>DSN_PROJ_NUM</li> <li>IA_GROUP</li> <li>Design</li> <li>DSN_COUNTY_NO1</li> <li>DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE</li> <li>DSN_STATUS</li> <li>DSN_TYPE_OF_PLANS</li> <li>View</li> <li>Audit Trail</li> <li>Participants</li> <li>Workspace</li> <li>Workspace</li> <li>Workspace</li> <li>Workspace</li> <li>View</li> <li>Project Type:</li> <li>Project Number for Road Design</li> <li>Project Properties</li> <li>Project Project Properties</li> <li>Project Proj</li></ul>	General	Statistic	s	Wor	kflow & State	Resour	ces
Project Type: IDOT_Design_Project_Number  Project Type: Project Number for Road Design  Project Properties  DSN_IA_PROJ_ID  DSN_PIN_NUM  IA_GROUP  Design  DSN_PROJ_NUM  IA_GROUP  Design  DSN_COUNTY_NO1  DSN_COUNTY_NO1  DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE  DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE  DSN_STATUS  DSN_TYPE OF PLANS	roperties	View	Audit	Trail	Participants	Works	pace
Project Properties   DSN_IA_PROJ_ID   DSN_PIN_NUM   DSN_PROJ_NUM   IA_GROUP   Design   DSN_COUNTY_NO1   DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE   DSN_STATUS   DSN_TYPE_OF_PLANS	roject Type:	IDOT	_Design	_Project	_Number		•
Project Properties   DSN_IA_PROJ_ID   DSN_PIN_NUM   DSN_RROJ_NUM   IA_GROUP   Design   DSN_DISTRICT_NUMBER   DSN_COUNTY_NO1   DSN_COUNTY_NAME   DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE   DSN_STATUS   DSN_TYPE OF PLANS	)escription:	Project	t Numbe	er for Roa	ad Design		
Project Properties   DSN_IA_PROJ_ID   DSN_PIN_NUM   DSN_PROJ_NUM   IA_GROUP   DSN_DISTRICT_NUMBER   DSN_COUNTY_NO1   DSN_COUNTY_NAME   DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE   DSN_STATUS   DSN_TYPE OF PLANS							
Project Properties   DSN_IA_PROJ_ID   DSN_PIN_NUM   DSN_RROJ_NUM   IA_GROUP   Design   DSN_DISTRICT_NUMBER   DSN_COUNTY_NO1   DSN_COUNTY_NAME   DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE   DSN_STATUS   DSN_TYPE OF PLANS							
DSN_IA_PROJ_ID DSN_PIN_NUM DSN_PROJ_NUM IA_GROUP Design DSN_DISTRICT_NUMBER DSN_COUNTY_NO1 DSN_COUNTY_NAME DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE DSN_STATUS DSN_TYPE_OF_PLANS	Project P	Properties					•
DSN_PIN_NUM DSN_PROL_NUM IA_GROUP Design DSN_DISTRICT_NUMBER DSN_COUNTY_NO1 DSN_COUNTY_NAME DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE DSN_STATUS DSN_TYPE OF PLANS	DSN_IA_P	PROJ_ID					
DSN_PROL_NUM       IA_GROUP       DSN_DISTRICT_NUMBER       DSN_COUNTY_NO1       DSN_COUNTY_NAME       DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE       DSN_STATUS       DSN_TYPE OF PLANS	DSN_PIN_	NUM					Ξ
IA_GROUP Design DSN_DISTRICT_NUMBER DSN_COUNTY_NO1 DSN_COUNTY_NAME DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE DSN_STATUS DSN_TYPE_OE_PLANS	DSN_PRO						
DSN_DISTRICT_NUMBER DSN_COUNTY_NO1 DSN_COUNTY_NAME DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE DSN_STATUS DSN_TYPE OF PLANS	IA_GROU	P		Des	ign		_
DSN_COUNTY_NO1 DSN_COUNTY_NAME DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE DSN_STATUS DSN_TYPE OF PLANS	DSN_DIST	TRICT_NU	MDER				
DSN_COUNTY_NAME DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE DSN_STATUS DSN_TYPE OF PLANS	DSN_COU	JNTY_NO1					_
DSN_SYSTEM_ROUTE DSN_STATUS DSN_TYPE OF PLANS	DSN_COU	JNTY_NAM	1E				_
	DSN_SYS	TEM_ROU	ITE				_
DSN TYPE OF PLANS	DSN_STA	TUS					
	DSN TYP	E OF PLA	MS				

General	Statistics	Wo	rkflow & State	Resources	3
Properties	View	Audit Trail	Participants	Workspac	e
D		-			
Project Type:		esign_Projec	t_Number	•	<u> </u>
Description:	Project No	umber for Ro	ad Design		
Project	Properties				•
DSN_IA_	PROJ_ID				
DSN_PIN	NUM_			=	
DSN_PR	OJ_NUM				T
IA_GROU	JP	Des	sign Open Roads		۲
DSN_DIS	STRICT_NUMB	ER			
DSN_CO	UNTY_NO1				
DSN_CO	UNTY_NAME				
DSN_SY	STEM_ROUTE				
DSN_ST	ATUS				
DSN TY					_
IA_GROUP	2				
IDOT Group	Definition				



# **Upgrading Project to SS4**

- All new prelim Survey is being developed in SS4
- After September 5<sup>th</sup>, 2017 the Office of Design will no longer make .TIN or .GPK files available to outside offices on SS4 projects.
- Projects in progress where there are few negative impacts to cost or schedule should be upgraded to Geopak SS4 software/workflows.

# Upgrading to SS4

- Where there are negative cost and/or schedule impacts to projects that are currently in progress, upgrading the project if it meets any of the following bullets is not mandatory:
  - The letting of the project or the last letting of a corridor's projects is prior to July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2018.
  - The grading projects will be let prior to July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2018 and only paving or miscellaneous projects with minimal grading (less than 10,000 CY of Class 10 bid item) will remain.
  - The project is a normal bridge replacement.
  - The project is in the planning or NEPA phase and the consultant hasn't been asked to provide final plan development.
- When negative impacts are identified to an consultant designed project; the Project Management Office, the lead office, the consultant, and the district;
   will make the upgrade determination with guidance from ODAC

will make the upgrade determination with guidance from ODAC.

# Storm Sewer design in SS4

- SUDA's lack of adequate plan production tools has lead the DOT to continue to use GEOPAK Drainage as the design tool for storm sewer design.
- Bentley's SUE tools may be used for clash detection if Quality Level A or B locates have been done with survey.
- Prelim Survey is using SUE tools to create existing 3D roadway pipes.

### OpenRoads Designer (ORD) CONNECT Edition Civil

- With commercial release available ODAC has started evaluation of potential workflow issues with new software.
  - Still some features needed for full production missing from the current build.
- ODAC has been tasked with implementation of ORD to take a little time as possible.
  - ODAC cannot release specific timeline until Bentley releases a product with all tools needed.
- ODAC is proposing MicroStation/Civil users implement ORD concurrently over a much shorter transition than Geopak SS2 -> Geopak SS4.

# **OpenRoads Designer Advantages**

- Legacy tools are eliminated, eliminating ancillary GPK file.
  - New P&P sheeting tools
  - New Annotation tools (plan view, profile view, stationing, etc.)
  - New feature definition types
- 64-bit software that will allow better utilization of hardware.
  - Allows processing of larger data sets.
- Bentley actively updating the software

# ACEC / DOT SS4 coordination

- 08/04/2017 phone conversation with several ACEC members and DOT discussing issues relating to SS4
- DOT to coordinate with ACEC to have monthly remote meetings to continue discussions relating to SS4 issues.
- At IDDEA conference DOT Design to host roundtable discussion for SS4.

# Civil software rumors

• Currently evaluating civil software used to ensure it is the best solution to meet the needs of the Iowa DOT.